

Barrie Trower Response to criticism of my Report:
Wi-fi - A Thalidomide in the Making

Dear Anonymous Person

Background:

I was asked by a 12-yr old girl to assess her risk from wi-fi in school, with respect to her becoming a mother in the future. As stated on the cover, the Report was never meant for Professional Scientists. I wrote it for schoolgirls to take to school, in order to have serious discussions with decision-makers.

In my Report, I went on to demonstrate the intransigence she could encounter from those employed to protect her, should she wish to pursue her legal right to a healthy life.

For simplicity, I will refer to one microwatt per centimetre squared as one unit

On page two, I use the preposition “from”, concerning the lower rate of exposure – the precursor to placental inflammation – a long, complicated chain of events – usually irreversible, leading to birth defects – thence miscarriage. Meaning, of the irradiation levels recorded by the Author, harm was also recorded at the lower level – rather like smoking or any other accumulative harmful agent.

The Author states “Thus we are left with higher rates of complications of pregnancy at the Moscow Embassy.....from 8-18 units**

*****Recent research has this phenomena now at one unit only.***

The women suffering miscarriage were exposed to above the ICNIRP limit for “only a few minutes” (documented). One of the exposure frequencies was 2450 MHz (Wifi).

All radiation is accumulative. The irradiation, depending on variables, would have increased to the maximum ***through all lower levels***, rather like sunbathing, during absorption. This may, in some cases, be only milliseconds. It is the upper “above ICNIRP” level which is also very worrying indeed.

On the subject of free wifi on public transport, it has been recorded that the ICNIRP level can – and has – been exceeded; passengers tend to take journeys lasting longer than a few minutes!

Similarly, I have had 34 students in my class, two of them pregnant, with transmitters outside, routers inside and 34 wifi computers: how is the teacher to know when the ICNIRP level is reached? Will an alarm warn to “switch off”? - and this may be a daily occurrence.

There are many published papers referring to why an embryo/infant/child, due to resonant size, water content, electrical conductivity, may be more at risk from wifi (or other Hz).

I fear you mis-read my page 4. I questioned whether these published figures were additional....a “moot” point. Meaning – I do not know, but it needs to be considered by any decision-maker concerned over any risk to potential mothers or developing children.

Your next point is rather difficult to answer, as I never watch nor listen to interviews. I do know I am the target of mis-editing. Only last month, a very respectable transmitting company has me saying that I imprisoned orphan children for the experimentation with microwaves upon their reproductive organs. I have no idea how that can be - as it is incorrect. What you heard is likely to be accidental editing – interviews are rarely live and, once over, are at the mercy of the Editor. Some companies just take bits and pieces from a variety of interviews – there seems no legal control over this, and knowing who is responsible is impossible.

I can tell you that, and I mean this with no disrespect, if you were not involved in the Cold War, it is hard to understand what a very tense time it was. Microwave warfare was believed to be used by major Powers to induce a variety of ill effects. Most cancer clusters, mass birth defects, etc., were blamed on an enemy Super-power. The quoted miscarriage rate was certainly not uncommon for the era – with all blame going directly to one of the “Powers”.

During the 1990’s Professor Goldsmith, very active in research, identified the 2450 MHz diathermy machine as one possible source of staff miscarriages. If my interview was prior to me reading this document, I would have blamed the USSR. Surprisingly, not long after this, the USSR were the first, as far as I am aware, to warn *us* about using this frequency for common use. Later, again, top scientists from the USSR and Canada warned us not to introduce the 2450 MHz into schools – fearing harm.

To my knowledge, microwave warfare was the new super-weapon – going back to the 1950’s up to the present day. An epidemiological study, on a huge scale, is almost complete – which is investigating the phenomena for pulsed/modulated frequencies. Another new microwave weapon is due to be launched, which is incredibly close to one of the new proposed 5G frequencies.

Experimental data indicates reproductive harm is not uncommon for the frequencies used in telecommunications, and is common across species. This is not surprising as, chemically, our four DNA bases are identical – reacting to microwaves the same way. A recent report states....”some studies show extinction of species within five generations...” This refers to man-made telecommunications microwaves.

A good, recent example of this is the World Heritage Site, Mt. Nardi in Australia. Interestingly, if you look at the “five generations” and mathematically reverse the decay curve, it brings you back to a similar starting point as the 47% miscarriage rate.

You must have mis-interpreted my anatomical diagram. Nobody expects a woman to have 14 fertilized eggs in a single monthly cycle. It is a selection process, rather like a military assault course, where **one** is chosen from the remaining contenders. You question my sources: I rely on Encyclopaedia Britannica, Greys Anatomy, three

University Medical Schools nearby – plus the work of Dr Andrew Goldsworthy and Dr Andrew Tressider’s current research on the ES-UK website.

You ask whether I will name the Royals, Governmental Ministers, Leaders of Peoples, etc, and their Scientists, with whom I have attended meetings for many hours – in some cases, days. At this point in time ***you are anonymous to me!*** To reveal this without their permission would be totally unprofessional.. However, if you look at the last fifteen or so countries, cities, townships, states, etc., who have decided to limit or remove wifi from nurseries, schools, public areas, etc., it will give you an idea of those with whom I have been in contact. I can assure you , having met them, they would not remove wifi on just my say-so and one report for a 12-yr-old girl. They would have checked many Experts’ publications.

It should not be overlooked that, from thousands of studies between 1972 and 1983, the US Government published a series of reports concerning medical conditions from below thermal microwave radiation.

Listed among these was **menstrual disorders**. At the WHO Radio Frequency Microwave Symposium in 1973, this was considered in its 350 page document “Biologic effects and health hazards of microwave radiation”. The existence of these documents was denied to the Public. **They are referenced in my Report.**

To my knowledge, some 20,000 research articles are being released from this era. You may wish to scrutinise/appraise them.

As a male, I can only imagine that the fear of experiencing a miscarriage would be far more terrifying than being warned of the level of microwaves which could trigger this process.

Finally, there is a plethora of peer-reviewed data concerning birth defects. I stand by my Report, which is being confirmed experimentally. The only risk-free solution is to remove all wifi from schools and fit one of the many cabled alternatives.